METHODOLOGY ARTICLE



Open Access

Enhanced primers for amplification of DNA barcodes from a broad range of marine metazoans

Jorge Lobo^{1,2*}, Pedro M Costa¹, Marcos AL Teixeira², Maria SG Ferreira², Maria H Costa¹ and Filipe O Costa²

Abstract

Background: Building reference libraries of DNA barcodes is relatively straightforward when specifically designed primers are available to amplify the COI-5P region from a relatively narrow taxonomic group (e.g. single class or single order). DNA barcoding marine communities have been comparatively harder to accomplish due to the broad taxonomic diversity and lack of consistently efficient primers. Although some of the so-called "universal" primers have been relatively successful, they still fail to amplify COI-5P of many marine animal groups, while displaying random success even among species within each group. Here we propose a new pair of primers designed to enhance amplification of the COI-5P region in a wide range of marine organisms.

Results: Amplification tests conducted on a wide range of marine animal taxa, rendered possible the first-time sequencing of DNA barcodes from eight separated phyla (Annelida, Arthropoda, Chordata, Cnidaria, Echinodermata, Mollusca, Nemertea and Platyhelminthes), comprising a total of 14 classes, 28 orders, 57 families, 68 genus and 76 species.

Conclusions: These primers demonstrated to be highly cost-effective, which is of key importance for DNA barcoding procedures, such as for building comprehensive DNA barcode libraries of marine communities, where the processing of a large numbers of specimens from a wide variety of marine taxa is compulsory.

Keywords: Universal primers, DNA barcoding, Taxonomy, Marine metazoans

Background

The so-called "universal" PCR primers are research tools of great utility for molecular ecological studies where the same locus is analysed across a broad range of taxa from different phyla. The universal primers designed by Folmer and colleagues [1] (HCO2198-LCO1490, henceforth named "Folmer primers") for amplification of a 658 base pair (bp) fragment of the 5' end of the mitochondrial gene cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI-5P), have shown to be very successful in the amplification of this gene fragment in a broad range of marine metazoan phyla. The Folmer primers have

* Correspondence: j.arteaga@fct.unl.pt

been probably the most widely used primer pair for amplification of COI in many animal groups in addition to marine organisms (3166 citations recorded in ISI, 25/ 10/2012) (e.g. [2,3]). Indeed, this was the primer pair selected by Hebert and colleagues for their proof-of concept study on Canadian moths, where they propose the DNA barcoding approach for species identification [4]. The mitochondrial DNA region delimited by Folmer's primers (COI-5P) became the established DNA barcode region for animal life. With a growing number of studies attempting to examine DNA barcodes from different animal taxa, it quickly became apparent that the primer pair HCO2198 and LCO1490 was not so "universal" as thought before, as it would still fail to amplify some taxa.

Simison [5], for instance, verified that the Folmer primers and several combinations with degenerate primers were successful for only a small number of gastropod taxa. Also, Lohman et al. [6] tried to amplify COI-5P of perching birds (Aves: Passeriformes) with the universal LCO1490/



© 2013 Lobo et al.; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

¹IMAR-Instituto do Mar, Departamento de Ciências e Engenharia do Ambiente, Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia da Universidade Nova de Lisboa, 2829-516 Monte de Caparica, Portugal

²CBMA-Centro de Biologia Molecular e Ambiental, Departamento de Biologia, Universidade do Minho, Campus de Gualtar, 4710-057 Braga, Portugal

HCO2198 primer pair, but the efforts were similarly unsuccessful, as they were with different combinations of primers. In another example, Blankenship et al. [7], failed to amplify COI-5P using the Folmer primers from the remnants of big-eye tuna (identified only through the mitochondrial 16S gene) inside the guts of deep-sea amphipods (Scopelocheirus schellenbergi). Also, the usefulness of the Folmer primers may be limited for decapod Crustacea because they are not optimized [8]. In echinoderms, amplification of COI is often challenging, either due to low amplification successor to the amplification of pseudogenes [9,10]. Given the vast geographic distribution and the important commercial value of holothurians, further work should focus on developing alternative primers for these species, because PCR (polymerase chain reaction) amplification could not be achieved with the primers available [11].

To overcome the limitations of the presumed universal primers, new primer pairs have been developed targeting specific large assemblages, such as birds [12], lepidopterans [13], or fish [14]. Still, the limited amplification success in some groups led to the development of alternative approaches, namely the design of degenerate primer pairs [5] or even primer cocktails [15]. The later approach has been very successful in COI-5P amplification in fish (e.g. [16]), although one of the primer cocktails was originally designed and tested in mammals, and the same occurred for the alternative primer pairs designed for birds [6] or Lepidoptera [13]. Despite the success of these groupspecific PCR primers, to date no alternatives to Folmer primers have been proposed that are effective in a broad range of marine animals and particularly for marine invertebrates. Within the latter group, most PCR primers developed were phylum or class specific (see [17]), like primers designed for Echinoderms [10], Crustacea ([18], D. Steinke unpublished in [19]), Gastropoda [20] and Annelids [21]. Here we propose a new pair of enhanced primers specifically designed to amplify the COI-5P barcode region from a broad taxonomic range of marine organisms. We compared its amplification potential with other broadly used primer pairs, and tested amplification success in 76 species from both vertebrates and invertebrates and a total of 8 animal phyla. The success of amplification in a broadrange of taxa indicates that these primers can be particularly valuable for building up reference DNA barcode libraries of complex marine communities.

Methods

Sample collections

Marine specimens were collected from various locations along the west coast of Portugal and preserved in 96% ethanol. A total of 130 specimens and 76 species belonging to 8 among the most common phyla of marine metazoa was identified by traditional methods based on morphological characters. The list of specimens investigated and respective collection data is displayed in Table 1.

DNA extraction

Muscle tissue was used to extract DNA from the specimens of all surveyed groups. DNA extracts were obtained using the E.Z.N.A. Mollusc DNA Kit (Omega Bio-tek), following the manufacturer's instructions.

Primer design

Degenerate primers LoboF1 (forward) and LoboR1 (reverse) for the COI-5P were designed based on publically available COI sequences obtained from GenBank that were compatible with the COI-5P sequence that included complete 5' and 3' ends. They were analyzed and aligned using MEGA software version 5 [22]. To assist the design, three pairs of available primers were added to the alignment: the forward primers LCO1490 [1], CrustDF1 (D. Steinke unpublished in [19]), CrusF1 and CrusF2 [18] and the reverse primers HCO2198 [1] and CrustDR1 (D. Steinke unpublished in [19]) (Figure 1).

The amplifications were carried out in an iCycler[™] (Bio-Rad) thermal cycler, using the new primers LoboF1 and LoboR1, and a pre-made PCR mix from Invitrogen[™]. The mix contained 1× PCR buffer, 1.5 mM of MgCl₂, 0.2 mM of the dNTP mixture, 1 U of DNA Taq polymerase plus 0.5 μ M of each primer and 4 μ L of DNA template and completed with sterile milliQ-grade water to make up a total volume of 25 μ L.

DNA barcode amplification

The optimal annealing temperatures of primers were tested before setting up the PCR thermal cycling conditions based on the commonly used protocol in DNA barcoding studies: 1) denaturation at 94°C for 1 min; 2) denaturation at 94°C (30 s), annealing at 45°C (1 min 30 s), extension as 72°C for 1 min (5 cycles); 3) denaturation at 94°C (30 s), annealing at 54°C (1 min 30 s), extension as 72°C for 1 min (45 cycles) and ended with a final extension of 5 min at 72°C. The PCR products together with a 100 bp DNA ladder (Invitrogen™) were separated by electrophoresis in 1.5% agarose gel in TAE buffer, and subsequently stained with ethidium bromide for visualization in GelDoc 2000 equipment (Bio-Rad[™]). PCR products were cleaned up using a three-time precipitation with isopropanol, sequenced bidirectionally using the BigDye Terminator 3 kit, and run on an ABI 3730XL DNA analyser (all from Applied Biosystems[™]).

An initial test was conducted to compare the potential of newly-designed primers to amplify the COI barcode fragment, with two of the most common primer pairs used for marine organisms. The primers compared were LCO1490 and HCO2198, CrustDF1 and CrustDR1 and

		Species ana	lyzed in this project	Species from the BLAST search									
Kingdom	Phylum	Class	Order	Genus/Species	Specimen / similarity (%)	GenBank Accession							
Animalia	Annelida	Polychaeta		Axiothella constricta	Nicolea zostericola / 80	HQ024409							
				Euclymene sp.	Maldanidae sp. / 81	HQ023886							
				Euclymene robusta	Hyalinoecia sp. / 79	GQ497524							
				Euclymene santandarensis	Axiothella rubrocincta / 82	HM473326							
				Leiochone leiopygos	Axiothella rubrocincta /83	HM473326							
				Praxillella praetermissa	Axiothella rubrocincta / 80	HM473326							
				Unidentified Orbiniidae	Naineris laevigata / 77	GU362690							
			Sabellida	Sabella pavonina	Branchiomma sp. / 87*	ACF75771							
			Spionida	Scolelepis (Scolelepis) foliosa	Spionidae sp. / 81	GU672502							
			Phyllodocida	Glycera alba	Glycera sp. / 78	HM473389							
				Hediste diversicolor	Hediste diversicolor / 98	FJ030974							
	Arthropoda	Malacostraca	Decapoda	Deosergestes corniculum	Eusergestes similis / 85	DQ882149							
				Porcellana platycheles	Anomura sp. / 87	HM464308							
				Pestarella tyrrhena	Anomura sp. / 84	HM465098							
				Calappa granulata	Calappa granulata / 99	JQ306054							
				Calappa pelii	Calappa granulata / 99	JQ306054							
				Atelecyclus sp.	Cancer bellianus / 86	JQ306131							
				Macropodia sp.	Macropodia rostrata / 99	JQ306016							
				Pilumnus hirtellus	Pilumnus hirtellus / 94	JQ306038							
				Carcinus maenas	Carcinus maenas / 99	FJ581593							
				Lophozozymus incisus	Hermodice carunculata / 98	AY495947							
				Pinnotheres pisum	Neosarmatium fourmanoiri / 85	FN392165							
				Eualus cranchii	Anomura sp. / 85	HM464348							
				Oplophorus spinosus	Oplophorus spinosus / 100	JQ306166							
				Systellaspis debilis	Systellaspis debilis / 99	JQ306181							
				Systellaspis pellucida	Systellaspis pellucida / 99	JQ306183							
				Palaemon elegans	Palaemon elegans / 99	JQ306030							
				Chlorotocus crassicornis	Chlorotocus crassicornis / 100	JQ305891							
				Pandalina brevirostris	Eumunida capillata / 82	EU243342							
				Plesionika acanthonotus	Plesionika acanthonotus / 99	JQ306170							
				Plesionika heterocarpus	Plesionika heterocarpus / 99	JQ306279							
				Stylopandalus richardi	Plesionika narval / 99	JQ305932							
				Unidentified Paguridae	Emerita analoga / 83	AF425302							
			Amphipoda	Ampithoe sp.	Stenothoidae sp / 86	EF989710							
				Caprella andreae	Amphipoda sp. / 82	GQ260853							
				Corophium sp.	Cerodontha incisa / 80	EF104689							
				Elasmopus rapax	Amphipoda sp. / 82	HM466480							
				Jassa sp.1	Jassa staudei / 82	EU243782							
				Jassa sp.2	Jassa marmorata / 83	EU243747							
				Maera inaequipes	Amphipoda sp. / 82	HM465802							
				Melita palmata	Melita plumulosa / 79	JN790072							
				Microdeutopus chelifer	Amphipoda sp. / 83	HM466455							

Table 1 Taxonomic classification of the 76 species from marine eight metazoan phyla (plus one alga species)sequenced with the primers LoboF1 and LoboR1

			Isopoda	ldotea granulosa	ldotea baltica / 90	FJ581714
				ldotea pelagica	ldotea pelagica / 98	JQ425512
				Talitrus saltator	Pseudorquestoidea brito / 91	JX094870
	Chordata	Ascidiacea	Stolidobranchia	Microcosmus squamiger	Microcosmus squamiger / 100	FJ528602
				Styela clava	Styela clava / 99	FJ528636
		Actinopterygii	Gobiesociformes	Lepadogaster lepadogaster	Dormitator maculatus / 82	AY722137
			Perciformes	Pomatoschistus lozanoi	Pomatoschistus tortonesei / 90	FJ751922
				Bass ^Y	Dicentrarchus labrax / 100	FN689114
				Tuna ^y	Thunnus albacares / 99	GU451782
			Pleuronectiformes	Solea senegalensis	Solea senegalensis / 99	EU513739
	Cnidaria	Anthozoa	Pennatulacea	Veretillum cynomorium	Funiculina sp. / 96	JN227949
	Echinodermata	Asteroidea	Forcipulatida	Marthasterias glacialis	Marthasterias glacialis / 99	DQ077925
		Ophiuroidea	Ophiurida	Ophiothrix fragilis	Ophiothrix fragilis / 98	EU583122
		Echinoidea	Camarodonta	Paracentrotus lividus	Paracentrotus lividus / 99	EF462949
	Mollusca	Bivalvia	Nuculida	Nucula sulcata	Nucula sulcata / 100	DQ280017
			Arcoida	Anadara sp.	Anadara diluvii / 99	JF496763
			Mytiloida	Mytilus edulis	Mytilus edulis / 100	GU570521
			Pectinoida	Anomia ephippium	Anomia sp. / 70	GQ166573
		Cephalopoda	Myopsida	Alloteuthis media	Alloteuthis media / 99	EU668087
				Loligo forbesi	Loligo forbesi / 100	AF075402
				Loligo vulgaris	Loligo vulgaris / 99	AF075397
			Sepiida	Sepia officinalis	Sepia officinalis / 99	EF416306
			Octopoda	Argonauta argo	Argonauta nodosa / 99	AY557517
		Gastropoda		Osilinus sauciatus	Osilinus sauciatus / 100	JN686313
			Littorinimorpha	Vermetus triquetrus	Peasiella mauritiana / 80	HE590850
			Neogastropoda	Nassarius reticulatus	Nassarius reticulatus / 99	EU827201
				Ocenebra erinaceus	Ocenebra erinaceus / 100	AY995773
			Nudibranchia	Armina maculata	Dermatobranchus sp. / 85	HM162698
			Pleurobranchomorpha	Berthella plumula	Bathyberthella antartica / 84	AY345027
		Polyplacophora	Chitonida	Acanthochitona crinita	Acanthochitona crinita / 86	AF120627
				Chaetopleura angulata	Chaetopleura angulata / 100	AY377703
			Lepidopleurida	Leptochiton algesirensis	Leptochiton algesirensis / 93	HQ907849
	Nemertea	Enopla	Monostilifera	Amphiporus sp.	Amphiporus sp. / 89	EU255601
	Platyhelminthes	Rhabditophora	Polycladida	Leptoplana tremellaris	Pseudostylochus intermedius / 82*	BAB39492
Chromista	Ochrophyta	Phaeophyceae	Fucales	Fucus spiralis	Fucus vesiculosus var. spiralis / 100	EU646757

Table 1 Taxonomic classification of the 76 species from marine eight metazoan phyla (plus one alga species) sequenced with the primers LoboF1 and LoboR1 (Continued)

*Amino acid sequence search because poor information is available about these taxa, ^yindicates common name (muscle tissue was provided without morphological identification to the species level).

the new LoboF1 and LoboR1. DNA templates from six specimens of three crustacean species were tested in parallel for the 3 primer pairs employing the same PCR conditions.

Sequence alignment and tree reconstruction

All sequence data were carefully checked to detect possible nuclear mitochondrial pseudogenes (numts) (see

[23]): chromatogram quality examination and sequence editing to detect ambiguities, double peaks and noise, GenBank's BLAST search [24] for homologies with public sequences (Table 1), and translation into amino acid for inspection for any indels, stop codons, or unusual amino acid sequence patterns. The GenBank accession numbers for sequences obtained in this study are included between KF369103 and KF369196, and specimen and sequence

Forward																						Sec	que	enc	e																				
Species																																													
Clibanarius albidigitus	A C	а т	G	с	А	A C	G	А	те	i A	т	т	с	т	т	т	т с	A	А	с	А	А	A	т	c /	A (A	А	А	G	A C	A	т	т	G	G	S A	• •	т	т	т	A	ТА	т	тт
Orconectes palmeri longimanus Primers	TA	A C	; G	c	А	A C	G	А	то	i A	T	T	т	T	T	T	т с	т	А	с	А	А	A	т	c /	A T	A	А	А	G	а т	A	т	т	G	G	A A	4 0	: A	T	т	А	ΤA	т	тт
LCO1490														(G	G	гс	А	А	с	А	А	A	т	c /	A T	A	А	А	G	АТ	A	т	т	G	G									
CrustDF1														(G	G	т с	w	A	с	А	А	A	Υ¢	c /	A T	A	A	А	G	ΑY	A	т	т	G	G									
CrustF1														т	T I	T	т с	т	А	с	А	А	A	т	c /	A T	A	А	А	G	A C	A	т	т	G	G									
CrustF2									G	G	т	т	с	TI	т	ст	т с	с	А	с	с	А	A	с	c /	A (A	А	R	G	ΑY	A	т	н	G	G									
LoboF1														,	к	B 1	гс	н	А	с	А	А	A	Υd	c /	A Y	A	А	R	G	A Y	A	т	н	G	G									
Reverse		_																				Sec	que	enc	e																				
Species																																													
Bathyberthella antartica	СА	A C	A S	т	т	ТΑ	т	т	тт	G	G	т	т	т	т	т	c G	G	А	с	А	с	с	C 1	т	3 A	A	G	т	т	ΤA	т	А	т	т	т	r A	A 4	чτ	т	с	т			
Clibanarius albidigitus Primers	CA	A C	: A	т	т	ТА	т	т	тт	G	A	т	т	TI	TI	T	T G	G	т	с	А	с	c	c /	A (5 A	A	G	т	т	ТΑ	т	А	т	т	TI	ΓA	A A	Υ	т	т	т			
HC02198									т	G	А	т	т	т	T I	TI	тg	G	т	с	А	с	с	ст	т	S A	A	G	т	т	ТА														
CrustDR1									т	G	R	т	т	Υī	T 1	τı	Y G	G	т	с	А	Y	с	ст	т	S A	R	G	т	т	ТА														
									т	6	R	т	т	v		т,	Y G	6	w	c	۵	v	c	c \	w		R	6	т	т	тΔ														

CrustDR1 (D. Steinke unpublished in [19]); CrustF1 and CrustF2 [18]; LoboF1 and LoboR1 (present study).

data is compiled in the Barcode of Life Data Systems (BOLD) project titled "Enhanced primers for amplification of DNA barcodes from marine metazoans".

All sequence alignments and tree reconstruction were performed using MEGA5 software [22]. The neighbourjoining (NJ) method was used, applying the Kimura-2parameter (K2P) model for the nucleotide–base tree [25] and the Jones-Taylor-Thornton (JTT) model for the amino acid sequence tree [26]. For assessment of node support 1000 bootstrap iterations [27] were run in both cases.

Results

The primers LoboF1 and LoboR1 amplified the COI-5P (Figure 2) from a wide diversity of species from different

animal phyla (Table 1), both vertebrates and invertebrates, comprising 130 specimens belonging to 76 species from 8 phyla. In addition, one brown alga species (*Fucus spiralis*) was successfully amplified (Table 1). All PCR products were successfully sequenced (forward and reverse sequences), with 87% of the cases returning the expected \approx 658 bp length after sequence adition and primer trimming. No indication of the presence of numts was detected in any of the sequences, although the bivalve *Anomia ephippium* displayed two stop codons (TAA) on positions 3 and 158 of the amino acid sequence. This particular exception is further analysed in the Discussion section.

Contrasting the resulting sequences with those deposited at GenBank and BoldSystems databases confirmed



the accordance between the morphological and genetic classification of most species. Although some specimens referred to species not yet accessioned in the databases, the specimen similarity obtained was always within the same taxonomic group, albeit at a higher taxonomic level.

Comparing the primers used in the initial test performed on six specimens, we verified that LoboF1 and LoboR1 were the only primers that provide DNA amplifications for all specimens (Figure 3). Folmer primers failed to amplify COI-5P for 4 out of 6 decapod samples and the crustacean-specific primers CrustDF1 and CrustDR1 amplified five of the six samples.

Discussion

The newly-designed primers permitted amplification of COI-5P from all marine species tested, which comprised a wide phylogenetic diversity of taxa belonging to eight different phyla (Annelida, Arthropoda, Chordata, Cnidaria, Echinodermata, Mollusca, Nemertea and Platyhelminthes), and including some of the organisms considered more recalcitrant to amplification such as echinoderms and flatworms. To our best knowledge, this is the first DNA barcoding study reporting both successful amplification and sequencing from such a diverse array of taxa using a single pair of primers. Although the primers here proposed are degenerate, we did not find any indication of the presence of pseudogenes after detailed inspection of the sequences. The sequence chromatograms were generally of high quality, and neither indels or stop codons were observed, nor unusual substitution patterns in more conserved regions of the nucleotide or amino acid sequences. BLAST searches returned the expected matches or nearest neighbours, and we also did not find any evidence of accidental amplification of microbial contaminants (see [28]).

The only exception to this pattern was the presence of two stop codons (TAA) in the bivalve *Anomia ephippium*. Plazzi et al. [29] previously reported the occurrence of exactly the same stop codon (on position 158 of the amino acid sequence) on a specimen of the same genus (*Anomia*

sp.), but still considered their sequence to have genuine mtDNA origin. Indeed, the chromatograms from our three specimens of *A. ephippium* had very high quality scores and did not show any other abnormal substitution except for these exact codons. Such a precise codon-specific substitution, occurring in three organisms of the same genus (diverging by 30%), should not be compatible with the expected random substitution pattern typical of a pseudogene (see for instance [23]). Similarly to Plazzi et al. [29], we suggest that this is a *bona fide* mtDNA COI sequence, and that the putative stop codon could be an exception to the mitochondrial code of this taxon that deserves further investigation.

Among the phyla that yielded successful COI sequences, echinoderms and flatworms (Platyhelminthes) have long been receiving particular attention in DNA barcoding and related studies due to acknowledged difficulties in obtaining quality sequences. Three species of three different classes of echinoderms had COI-5P successfully amplified and sequenced, albeit other authors acknowledge that amplifying COI-5P from echinoderms remains a challenge, probably due to the unsuitability of the primers used or to DNA degradation [10]. On the other hand, according to León-Règagnon et al. [30], the generation of primers that amplify the standard barcode region for a wide variety of flatworm groups is still at the experimental stage and the COI-5P traditionally used in platyhelminths is shorter than the standard barcodes. In the present study, only one species of platyhelminth (Leptoplana tremellaris) was attempted for amplification and sequencing, however, the standard COI-5P was successfully obtained, which may reveal a promising trend for the primers' application to other flatworms. Additionally, even though the primer design was primarily aimed at invertebrate sequences, five distinct species of telosts (Dicentrarchus sp., Perciformes: Moronidae, Lepadogaster lepadogaster, Gobiesociformes: Gobiesocidae, Pomatoschistus lozanoi, Perciformes: Gobiidae, Solea



senegalensis, Pleuronectiformes: Soleidae and *Thunnus sp.*, Perciformes: Scombridae) were amplified and successfully sequenced. It should be noted, at this point, that several primer pairs [14] or even multiple primer cocktails [15] are usually used to amplify COI-5P. In spite of these promising preliminary results, research has still to be performed to confirm the widespread suitability of the LoboF1/R1 for teleosts.

Currently, COI-5P is a very useful marker to identify species and is generally regarded as a powerful tool for molecular taxonomy [4]. Furthermore, thanks to the BOLD multiple functionalities [31], sequences of COI-5P can already be contrasted with those introduced by other researchers. While COI-5P gives high support values for species identification, it does not resolve well deep molecular diversity, and shows a low level of phylogenetic informative characters [32], but the combination of nuclear and mitochondrial genes is useful for phylogenetic relationship (see [33,34]). Additionally, it must be stressed that DNA barcoding has not been technically conceived to recover phylogenetic relationships but rather to identify known species and to aid the discovery of new ones [35], which contributes to explain the misallocation of higher taxa hereby observed, in accordance to the observations by Hajibabaei et al. [36]. Still, regardless of technical limitations, all specimens of the same species were grouped in the same clade when either amino acid- or nucleotidebased trees were reconstructed, as expected.

Conclusions

The newly designed primers LoboF1 and LoboR1 proved to be a rapid, practical and cost-effective tool for DNA barcoding based on COI sequencing. Given their high success rate to amplifying COI-5P from a wide and diverse range of tested taxa, it may well be that these new primers have a broader taxonomic range than all those currently used for barcoding the kingdom Animalia. These primers thus have high potential for the accelerated build–up of a global DNA–based biodiversity library, particularly with regard to the marine component.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

JL participated in sample collections and morphological identifications, performed the DNA extraction, amplification and purification, designed the primers and wrote the manuscript. PMC provided support on molecular components, participated in the design of the primers and edited the manuscript. MALT and MSGF contributed on annelid and amphipod collections, morphological identifications, molecular and bioinformatic analysis. MHC participated in sample collections, morphological identifications and edited the manuscript. FOC provided supervision and training on molecular and bioinformatics components and edited the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by FEDER through POFC-COMPETE and by national funds from "Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT)" in the scope of the grants FCOMP-01-0124-FEDER-015429 and PEst-C/BIA/UI4050/2011. Jorge Lobo is supported by a FCT PhD grant (SFRH/BD/69750/2010). Pedro M Costa is supported by a FCT post-doc grant (SFRH/BD/72564/2010). Filipe O Costa benefitted from the Marie Curie European Reintegration Grant PERG02-GA-2007-224890, provided by the European Commission. The authors would also like to thank Carolina Madeira and Monica Landi for the initial molecular experiments, Luisa Borges and Ronaldo Sousa for the support on collections, Cátia Bartilotti (IPMA), Nuno Gomes (CBMA) for the offer of crustacean specimens and Artur Oliveira (CBMA) for the alga specimen.

Received: 2 April 2013 Accepted: 3 September 2013 Published: 10 September 2013

References

- Folmer O, Black M, Hoeh W, Lutz R, Vrijenhoek R: DNA primers for amplification of mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I from diverse metazoan invertebrates. Mol Mar Biol Biotechnol 1994, 3:294–299.
- Dawnay N, Ogden R, McEwing R, Carvalho GR, Thorpe RS: Validation of the barcoding gene CO1 for use in forensic genetic species identification. *Forensic Sci Int* 2007, 173:1–6.
- Ekrem T, Willassen E, Stur E: A comprehensive DNA sequence library is essential for identification with DNA barcodes. *Mol Phylogenet Evol* 2007, 43:530–542.
- Hebert PDN, Cywinska A, Ball SL, deWaard JR: Biological identifications through DNA barcodes. Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 2003, 270:313–321.
- Simison WB: Evolution and phylogeography of new world gastropod faunas. Berkeley: University of California; 2000.
- Lohman DJ, Prawiradilaga DM, Meier R: Improved COI barcoding primers for Southeast Asian perching birds (Aves: Passeriformes). *Mol Ecol Resour* 2009, 9:37–40.
- Blankenship LE, Yayanos AA: Universal primers and PCR of gut contents to study marine invertebrate diets. *Mol Ecol* 2005, 14:891–899.
- Schubart CD: Mitochondrial DNA and decapod phylogenies: the importance of pseudogenes and primer optimization. In *Decapod Crustacean Phylogenetics*. Edited by Martin JW, Crandall KA, Felder DL. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group; 2009:47–65.
- Hoareau TB, Boissin E: Design of phylum-specific hybrid primers for DNA barcoding: addressing the need for efficient COI amplification in the Echinodermata. *Mol Ecol Resour* 2010, 10:960–967.
- Ward RD, Holmes BH, O'Hara TD: DNA barcoding discriminates echinoderm species. Mol Ecol Resour 2008, 8:1202–1211.
- Uthicke S, Byrne M, Conand C: Genetic barcoding of commercial Bêchede-mer species (Echinodermata: Holothuroidea). *Mol Ecol Resour* 2010, 10:634–646.
- 12. Hebert PDN, Stoeckle MY, Zemlak TS, Francis CM: Identification of birds through DNA barcodes. *PLoS Biol* 2004, 2:e312.
- Hajibabaei M, Janzen DH, Burns JM, Hallwachs W, Hebert PDN: DNA barcodes distinguish species of tropical Lepidoptera. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2006, 103:968–971.
- 14. Ward RD, Zemlak TS, Innes BH, Last PR, Hebert PDN: Barcoding Australia's fish species. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 2005, **360**:1847–1857.
- 15. Ivanova NV, Zemlak TS, Hanner RH, Hebert PDN: Universal primer cocktails for fish DNA barcoding. *Mol Ecol Notes* 2007, **7**:544–548.
- Costa FO, Landi M, Martins R, Costa MH, Costa ME, Carneiro M, Alves MJ, Steinke D, Carvalho GR: A ranking system for reference libraries of DNA barcodes: application to marine fish species from Portugal. *PLoS ONE* 2012, 7:e35858.
- 17. Bucklin A, Steinke D, Blanco-Bercial L: DNA barcoding of marine metazoa. Ann Rev Mar Sci 2011, 3:471–508.
- Costa FO, de Waard JR, Boutillier J, Ratnasingham S, Dooh RT, Hajibabaei M, Hebert PDN: Biological identifications through DNA barcodes: the case of the Crustacea. Can J Fish Aquat Sci 2007, 64:272–295.
- Matzen da Silva J, Creer S, Dos Santos A, Costa AC, Cunha MR, Costa FO, Carvalho GR: Systematic and evolutionary insights derived from mtDNA COI barcode diversity in the decapoda (crustacea: malacostraca). PLoS ONE 2011, 6:e19449.

- Zou S, Li Q, Kong L: Multigene Barcoding and phylogeny of geographically widespread muricids (gastropoda: neogastropoda) along the coast of china. *Mar Biotechnol (NY)* 2010, 14:21–34.
- 21. Carr CM, Hardy SM, Brown TM, Macdonald TA, Hebert PDN: A Tri-Oceanic perspective: DNA barcoding reveals geographic structure and cryptic diversity in Canadian polychaetes. *PLoS ONE* 2011, 6:e22232.
- Tamura K, Peterson D, Peterson N, Stecher G, Nei M, Kumar S: MEGA5: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood. Evolutionary distance and maximum parsimony methods. *Mol Biol Evol* 2011, 28:2731–2739.
- Song H, Buhay JE, Whiting MF, Crandall KA: Many species in one: DNA barcoding overestimates the number of species when nuclear mitochondrial pseudogenes are co amplified. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2008, 105:13486–13491.
- 24. McGinnis S, Madden TL: BLAST: at the core of a powerful and diverse set of sequence analysis tools. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2004, **32**:W20–W25.
- Kimura M: A simple model for estimating evolutionary rates of base substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. *J Mol Evol* 1980, 16:111–120.
- 26. Jones DT, Taylor WR, Thornton JM: The rapid generation of mutation data matrices from protein sequences. *Comput Appl Biosci* 1992, 8:275–282.
- 27. Saitou N, Nei M: The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. *Mol Biol Evol* 1987, **4**:406–425.
- Siddall ME, Fontanella FM, Watson SC, Kvist S, Eresus C: Barcoding bamboozled by bacteria: convergence to Metazoan Mitochon drial primer targets by marine microbes. Syst Biol 2009, 58:445–451.
- Plazzi F, Passamonti M: Towards a molecular phylogeny of Mollusks: Bivalves' early evolution as revealed by mitochondrial genes. *Mol Phylogenet Evol* 2010, 57:641–657.
- León-Règagnon V: Evidence of new species of Haematoloechus (Platyhelminthes: Digenea) using partial cox1 sequences. Mitochondrial DNA 2010, 21:12–17.
- Ratnasingham S, Hebert PDN: BOLD: The Barcode of Life Data System. Mol Ecol notes 2007, 7:355–364. http://www.barcodinglife.org.
- Zarowiecki MZ, Huyse T, Littlewood DTJ: Making the most of mitochondrial genomes – Markers for phylogeny, molecular ecology and barcodes in *Schistosoma* (Platyhelminthes: Digenea). *Int J Parasitol* 2007, 37:1401–1418.
- Simon C, Frati F, Beckenbach A, Crespi B, Liu H, Flook P: Evolution, weighting and phylogenetic utility of mitochondrial gene sequences and a compilation of conserved polymerase chain reaction primers. *Ann Entomol Soc Am* 1994, 87:651–701.
- Simon C, Buckley TR, Frati F, Stewart JB, Beckenbach A: Incorporating molecular evolution into phylogenetic analysis, and a new compilation of conserved polymerase chain reaction primers for animal mitochondrial DNA. Ann Rev Ecol Evol Syst 2006, 37:545–579.
- Hebert PDN, Gregory TR: The Promise of DNA Barcoding for Taxonomy. Syst Biol 2005, 54:852–859.
- Hajibabaei M, Singer G, Hickey D: Benchmarking DNA barcodes. Genome 2006, 49:851–854.

doi:10.1186/1472-6785-13-34

Cite this article as: Lobo *et al.*: Enhanced primers for amplification of DNA barcodes from a broad range of marine metazoans. *BMC Ecology* 2013 **13**:34.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar

) BioMed Central

• Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit